

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Scholz et al                      Art Unit : 2121  
Serial No. : 10/698,956                      Examiner : Darrin D. Dunn  
Filed : October 31, 2003                      Conf. No. : 8804  
Title : BLOCKING INPUT WITH DELAYED MESSAGE

### Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY

On October 22, 2009, Applicant's representative contacted the Examiner regarding the office action dated October 16, 2009. Particularly, Applicant pointed out that the office action did not analyze the declaration under Rule 1.132 that Applicant filed on August 6, 2009 together with a request for continued examination. Applicant noted that the declaration directly addressed a rejection that had been made in the previous (final) office action, which rejection was essentially repeated in the new office action, and that the Examiner therefore should have addressed the declaration when the same rejection was made again. The Examiner promised to issue a supplemental office action that addresses the points made in the declaration.

No fee is believed due in connection with this submission. Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 30, 2009\_\_\_\_\_

/s/ richard soderberg reg. no. 43,352/\_\_\_\_\_  
J. Richard Soderberg  
Reg. No. 43,352

Fish & Richardson P.C.  
3200 RBC Plaza  
60 South Sixth Street  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402  
Telephone: (612) 335-5070  
Facsimile: (877) 769-7945  
60609799.doc

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EFS-WEB FILING**

I hereby certify that this paper was filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office using the EFS –WEB system in accordance with 37 CFR § 1.6(a)(4), on November 30, 2009.

/s/ Leslie Smith/